

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. BOx 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

PPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/735,191	12/12/2003	Julia Wan-Ping Hsu	Hsu 4-5	2613
759	90 07/08/2005		EXAM	INER
Docket Administrator (Room 3J-219)			NGUYEN, JOSEPH H	
Lucent Technologies Inc. 101 Crawfords Corner Road			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
Holmdel, NJ 07733-3030			2815	

DATE MAILED: 07/08/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applic	cant(s)
	10/735,191	HSU E	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Un	nit
	Joseph Nguyen	2815	
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cove	r sheet with the correspo	ndence address
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, how within the statutory mi will apply and will expire cause the application to	ever, may a reply be timely filed nimum of thirty (30) days will be co SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing o become ABANDONED (35 U.S	onsidered timely. g date of this communication. .C. § 133).
Status			
 1) ⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 19 M. 2a) ☐ This action is FINAL. 2b) ⊠ This 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowar closed in accordance with the practice under E 	action is non-fin	rmal matters, prosecutio	
Disposition of Claims			
4) ⊠ Claim(s) 1-13 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdray 5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ⊠ Claim(s) 1-13 is/are rejected. 7) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) □ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	wn from conside		
Application Papers			
9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) ☑ The drawing(s) filed on 12 December 2003 is/an Applicant may not request that any objection to the of Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction of the office of the property of the second s	re: a) acceptor drawing(s) be held ion is required if the	in abeyance. See 37 CFF e drawing(s) is objected to	R 1.85(a). b. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12) △ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) △ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of: 1. △ Certified copies of the priority documents 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	s have been reco s have been reco rity documents h u (PCT Rule 17.2	eived. eived in Application No. ave been received in thi !(a)).	
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4) 5) 6)	Paper No(s)/Mail Date Notice of Informal Patent Ap	

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 1-3 and 5-13 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Ikeda (US 6,312,967).

Regarding claim 1, Ikeda discloses on figure 2 an apparatus comprising a crystalline substrate 1 (col. 4, line 4) having a top surface; a crystalline semiconductor layer 2, 3 (col. 4, lines 1-2) comprising group III-nitride being located on the top surface, the crystalline semiconductor layer having first and second surfaces, a plurality of lattice defects M (col. 4, line 9) having first ends on the first surface, the second surface being separated from the top surface by semiconductor of the crystalline semiconductor layer, the entire portion of the first surface between the defects being next to the top surface and a plurality of dielectric regions 4a (col. 4, lines 34-37) located on the second surface, each defect threading the crystalline semiconductor layer and having a second end covered by a different one of the dielectric region, each dielectric region being distant from other dielectric regions.

Note that each defect herein is referred to the defect in the central and side portions as shown in figure 2 of Ikeda that has its second end covered by a different one

of the dielectric region 4a. Further, since elements 2,3 are formed of the same crystalline semiconductor material (GaN), they are considered "crystalline semiconductor layer".

Regarding claim 2, Ikeda discloses the crystalline substrate is lattice mismatched to the crystalline semiconductor layer. Note that the crystalline substrate 1 is formed of sapphire (col. 4, lines 4-5) while the crystalline semiconductor layer 2, 3 is formed of GaN (col. 4, lines 1-2). Therefore, they are lattice mismatched.

Regarding claim 3, Ikeda discloses on figure 2 each dielectric region 4a is a cap covering a single threading defect M.

Regarding claim 5, Ikeda discloses the dielectric regions 4a comprise metal oxide (col. 4, lines 35-37).

Regarding claim 6, Ikeda discloses the group III-nitride comprises Ga (col. 4, lines 1-2).

Regarding claim 7, Ikeda discloses the lattice-mismatched substrate 1 comprises sapphire (col. 4, lines 4-5).

Regarding claim 8, it is inherent a concentration of metal atoms in the lattice defects M is higher than in surrounding semiconductor matrix of the crystalline semiconductor layer 2, 3. Applicant admitted in page 1, lines 22-26 a threading defect has a higher conductivity than bulk semiconductor and thus, carries more current through a layer than defect free semiconductor surrounding such a defect. A higher conductivity means a higher metal concentration in the threading defect therein.

Application/Control Number: 10/735,191

Art Unit: 2815

Regarding claim 9, Ikeda disclose on figure 1 (the same embodiment as figure 2) a conductor 16 (col. 5, line 62) with the second surface and configured to transmit a current to the layer 2, 3. Note that the conductor 16 is electrically in contact with the second surface of the layer 2,3.

Regarding claim 10, Ikeda discloses on figure 2 the lattice defects M are electrically passivated via 4a.

Regarding claim 11, Ikeda discloses the top surface (of the substrate 1) is planar.

Regarding claim 12, Ikeda discloses the substrate 1 is c-plane sapphire (col. 4, lines 4-5).

Regarding claim 13, Ikeda discloses on figure 2 the second surface of the crystalline semiconductor layer 2, 3 is smooth.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claim 4 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Ikeda, and further in view of Camras et al. (US 6,784,463).

Regarding claim 4, Ikeda discloses the caps 4a comprises aluminum oxide (col. 4, lines 35-37). Ikeda does not disclose the caps comprise oxide of gallium. However, Camras et al. discloses the caps 117 can be alternatively formed of aluminum oxide or

Art Unit: 2815

gallium oxide (col. 5, lines 9-14). In view of such teaching, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify lkeda by having the caps comprising oxide of gallium because they are recognized in the art as equivalents.

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-13 have been considered but are most in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Joseph Nguyen whose telephone number is (571) 272-1734. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday, 7:30 am- 4:30 pm. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Tom Thomas can be reached on (571) 272-1664. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (571) 273-8300 for regular communications.

Application/Control Number: 10/735,191

Art Unit: 2815

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

JN June 28, 2005.

> TOM THOMAS SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER

Page 6